
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
District of Minnesota

IN RE: CATTLE AND BEEF ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

MDL No. 22-3031 JRT/JFD

This Document Relates To: SPECHT
PLAINTIFFS

James Specht, Jerry Kelsey, Tad Larson,

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case Number: 22-cv-2903 JRT/JFD

Cargill, Inc., JBS USA Food Company, Tyson
Fresh Meats, Inc., Tyson Foods, Inc., Swift
Beef Company, National Beef Packing
Company, LLC, JBS S.A., JBS Packerland,
Inc., Cargill Meat Solutions Corporation,

Defendants.

☐ **Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

☒ **Decision by Court.** This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

1. Plaintiffs' Sherman Act and Packers and Stockyards Act claims are dismissed without prejudice;
2. Plaintiffs' antitrust claims arising under the laws of Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New

Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wisconsin are dismissed without prejudice;

3. Plaintiffs' antitrust claims arising under the laws of Alaska, Colorado, and Idaho are dismissed with prejudice;
4. Plaintiffs' consumer protection claims arising under the laws of Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Illinois, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Tennessee, and Wisconsin are dismissed without prejudice;
5. Plaintiffs' consumer protection claims arising under the laws of Alaska, Arkansas, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, and West Virginia are dismissed with prejudice; and
6. Plaintiffs may file a letter with the Court within fifteen days that demonstrates leave to amend the pleadings is warranted, which the Court will then consider.

Date: 8/18/2023

KATE M. FOGARTY, CLERK
